

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/997,796	LYONS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Michele Young.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

None.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Young stated that the application has been abandoned in favor of a continuation.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:45 p.m.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)